Robot Skill Learning

Starting from theoretically sound robotic control structures for task representation and execution, we replace analytic modules by more flexible learned ones [13]. To this end, we tackle problems such as accurate but compliant execution, learning of elementary behaviors, hierarchical composition of behaviors, and parsing complex demonstrations into elementary behaviors.

Accurate execution of movements ideally requires only low-gain controls, such that the robot can accomplish tasks without harming humans. Following a trajectory with little feedback requires accurate prediction of needed torques, which often cannot be achieved using classical methods. However, learning such models is hard: the joint-space can never be fully explored and the learning algorithm has to cope with a data stream in real time. We have developed learning methods for tasks represented in joint-space [12] or task-space [5].

Executing a task is important, but often the task itself needs to be learned. We focused on learning elementary tasks or movement primitives, which are parameterized nonlinear differential equations with desired attractor properties. We mimic how children learn new motor tasks, using imitation to initialize these movement primitives, and reinforcement learning to subsequently improve performance. We have learned tasks such as Ball-in-a-Cup or bouncing a ball [1, 2].

For more complex tasks, hierarchical solutions compose behaviors based on a large number of elementary ones. As the ‘drosophila’ of complex behavior, we chose the task of returning table tennis balls over the net. This requires all the methods described in the previous paragraphs, as well as forms of reinforcement learning discussed below. We created a compiler that segments movements of a human teacher into elementary movements [7, 6]. These then train the single primitives discussed above [1, 2]. Novel behaviors, modulated by the opponent’s incoming ball, are composed by mixing motor primitives [11]. The learning system then generalizes between the primitives. This created successful returns of 88% of balls. Further improvement is limited by the robot’s hardware and reaction time.

Human players infer the direction of incoming balls from the opponent’s movement, and so can prepare their stroke before the opponent even hits the ball. Inspired by this, we developed learning methods anticipating the aim of human opponent. They achieved a prediction accuracy of $35cm$, already $320ms$ before the ball is hit [14], significantly increasing available reaction time.

Recently, we developed a method maintaining multiple conflicting strategies in its motor policy [8]. This generalizes previous work on mixtures of motor primitives [11] and puts it on stronger theoretical footing. It was tested in a game of tetherball (Figure 0.2), and may become useful in table tennis as it allows more ambiguous gameplay.

Motor skill learning can also be applied to grasping and manipulation. We have developed methods [9, 3] learning how to grasp novel objects (a preliminary version [9] won the ICINCO Best Paper Award) and generalize such basic manipulations to different objects [10]. We also studied how to recognize surfaces from tactile frequency patterns obtained by sliding a finger over surfaces [4]. The robot autonomously discovered the most relevant dimensions of the tactile data by training jointly on vision and tactile data from various textured surfaces. Subsequently, when presented with only tactile stimuli, it was able to quickly and reliably recover surface type.
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